arXiv:0909.3835v2 [hep-th] 27 Aug 2010

Charged Kaluza-Klein multi-black holes in five dimensions

Cristian Stelea, Kristin Schleich, and Donald Witt

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia
6224 Agricultural Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada

Abstract

Using a solution generating technique based on the symmetries of the dimensionally reduced Lagrangian we derive an exact solution of the Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton field equations in five dimensions describing a system of two general non-extremally charged static Kaluza-Klein black holes and investigate some of its thermodynamic properties. We also show how to recover various known solutions in particular cases.

PACS: 04.20.-q, 04.20.Jb, 04.50.+h

1 Introduction

Exact solutions of Einstein field equations (with or without matter fields) played a key role in the development and the current understanding of gravitational physics in four and higher dimensions. Due to their nonlinearity, Einstein equations cannot be solved in every situation of physical interest and one often has to recourse to simplifying assumptions and make use of various symmetries in order to obtain new exact solutions in a systematic way. Some of the most powerful solution generation techniques in General Relativity have been devised for space-time geometries that belong to the so-called generalized Weyl class, as described in [1, 2]. In D-dimensions, solutions in this class assume the existence of $D-2$ abelian Killing vectors. Performing a dimensional reduction on a $(D-3)$-torus and further dualization of the various vector fields to scalars leads to three-dimensional Euclidian gravity coupled to a set of scalar fields. It turns out that, in most cases of interest (as in dimensional reductions of higher-dimensional supergravities), these scalar fields form non-linear sigma-models with coset spaces G/H as the target model [3]. Here G is some semi-simple group, H a subgroup of G and the field equations posses then the 'hidden symmetry group' G, which can be used in various solution generating techniques.

One caveat of this method is that, in order to obtain asymptotically flat black hole solutions, one has to restrict the space-time dimension to $D \leq 5$ since general black holes in $D > 5$ dimensions do not admit $(D-2)$-commuting Killing vectors [7]. While the four dimensional case has been extensively studied (see for instance [4]), since the recent discovery of black rings [5, 6] there is renewed interest in studying gravity in five and higher dimensions. The black ring was the first explicit example of an asymptotically flat black object with non-spherical event horizon. Heuristically, one obtains such a black ring by taking a black string in five dimensions, bending it and connecting its ends to form a circle. A static black ring configuration would normally collapse to form a black hole with spherical horizon topology; indeed, this is the case in four dimensional asymptotically flat space-times as a consequence of topological censorship [8, 9]. However, in five or more dimensions, the spherical topology of infinity does not constrain that of the black hole horizon [10]; geometric considerations, however, restrict the topology to those, such as S^3 and $S^2 \times S^1$, that admit non-negative scalar curvature [11]. The original black ring system was stabilized by the centrifugal effects of its rotation. Since the black ring discovery, many solution generating techniques were developed to re-derive and further generalize this kind of solutions [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

In five dimensions there also exist the so-called Kaluza-Klein (KK) black holes. These correspond to black objects whose horizon geometry is a squashed three-sphere [37, 38, 39, 40]. By contrast, their geometry is not asymptotically flat; instead it is asymptotic to a nontrivial S^1 bundle with constant fiber over the two-sphere in a four-dimensional asymptotically flat spacetime. This is also the asymptotic geometry of the Kaluza-Klein monopole [41, 42]. Such black holes look five-dimensional in the near horizon region, while asymptotically they look like four-dimensional objects with a compactified fifth dimension.

For vacuum metrics, there exists a systematic procedure to add KK-monopole charge to a general asymptotically flat geometry [43, 44, 45], based on a hidden $SL(3,R)$ symmetry of the gravitational sector [46]. One should note here that adding a Kaluza-Klein monopole charge to a given solution with matter fields is not a trivial task once one departs from the class of supersymmetric/vacuum solutions; in many cases, to find exact solutions one has to solve the Einstein equations by brute force. For instance, a solution describing a static KK black hole with electric charge has been found in [47], while the corresponding Einstein-Yang-Mills solution has been described in [48]. Remarkably, with hindsight, many such KK solutions can be generated by applying a 'squashing' transformation on suitable geometries [49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54]. However, not all the KK black hole solutions can be generated by the squashing transformation. More recently, in the context of the minimal 5-dimensional supergravity, there has been developed a solution generating technique based on the G_2 U-duality arising in the dimensional reduction of the theory down to three dimensions [55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60] and more general KK black holes have been derived [61, 62].

In this article we focus on Kaluza-Klein multi-black hole solutions. In higher dimensions, by contrast to the single black hole case, solutions describing general charged multi-black hole objects are scarce. The main reason is that, except in particular cases where the black objects are extremal [63, 64, 65], the known solution generating techniques lead to multi-black hole systems with charges proportional to the masses and therefore they cannot describe the most general charged solution for which the charges and the masses should be independent parameters. However, in five dimensions, a solution describing a general double-Reissner-Nordström solution has been recently constructed in [66], generalizing the uncharged solutions given in [68, 69, 70, 71]. The main purpose of this article is to show that one can appropriately modify the procedure in [66] to construct the general charged double KK black hole in five-dimensions.

The structure of this paper is as follows. We first describe the solution generating technique that will allow us to lift four-dimensional charged static configurations to five dimensional Einstein-Maxwell solutions with Kaluza-Klein asymptotics. This technique is based on a simple modification of the procedure given in [66]. We use the general double Reissner-Nordström solutions in four dimensions as a seed and lift it to five dimensions and show that the final solution can be interpreted as the general charged Kaluza-Klein double-black hole solution. Our solution generating method extends easily to the more general case of Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton (EMD) gravity with arbitrary coupling constant and we derive the charged Kaluza-Klein double-black hole solutions in this case. We end with a summary of our work and consider avenues for future research.

2 Solution generating technique

Consider a charged static solution of the Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton system in five dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \sqrt{-g}\left[R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}e^{\alpha\phi}F_{(2)}^2\right], \tag{1}$$

where $F_{(2)} = dA_{(1)}$. For static electrically charged configurations the only non-zero component of the 1-form gauge potential $A_{(1)}$ will be denoted here by A_t. The solution is specified by the metric:

$$ds_{(5)}^2 = -e^{-\frac{2\phi_1}{\sqrt{3}}}dt^2 + e^{\frac{\phi_1}{\sqrt{3}}}\left[e^{-\psi}(d\chi + \omega d\varphi)^2 + e^{\psi}ds_{(3)}^2\right], \tag{2}$$

the scalar field ϕ and the gauge field $A_{(1)} = A_t dt$. Here and in what follows we assume that all the functions ϕ_1, ψ, ω, A_t and the scalar field ϕ depend only on coordinates ρ and z.

Perform now a dimensional reduction down to three dimensions: *first* on the time direction and then on the χ coordinate[1]. Denoting the KK 1-form potential from the metric by $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = \omega d\varphi$ one obtains a solution of the following Lagrangian in three dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(3)} = \sqrt{g}\Big[R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\phi_1 + \alpha\phi}(\partial A_t)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\psi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\psi}(d\mathcal{A}_{(1)})^2\Big]. \quad (3)$$

One should note at this point that one has two decoupled systems: one involving the scalar fields ϕ, ϕ_1 and the electric potential A_t, while the second sector comprises the scalar ψ and the KK 1-form $\mathcal{A}_{(1)}$. This simple observation is the base of our solution generation technique in the present work. To this end, we shall employ Weyl's charging technique as described for instance in [72]. Let us first perform a dualization of the 2-form field strength $\mathcal{F}_{(2)} = d\mathcal{A}_{(1)}$ to a scalar ξ by using:

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \sqrt{g}e^{2\psi}\epsilon_{abc}\partial^c\xi, \quad (4)$$

where ϵ_{abc} is the Levi-Civita symbol in three dimensions. Then one can rewrite the $(\psi, \mathcal{A}_{(1)})$ sector in the above Lagrangian in the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\psi,\mathcal{A})} = \sqrt{g}\Big[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial\psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\psi}(\partial\xi)^2\Big] = \sqrt{g}\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}\big[\partial\mathcal{M}^{-1}\partial\mathcal{M}\big], \quad (5)$$

where one defines the matrix:

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\psi} & \xi e^{\psi} \\ \xi e^{\psi} & -e^{-\psi} + \xi^2 e^{\psi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

It is now manifest that the truncated Lagrangian (5) is invariant under general $SL(2,R)$ transformations if one considers the following transformation law:

$$\mathcal{M} \to \Omega^T\mathcal{M}\Omega, \qquad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad ad - bc = 1. \quad (7)$$

The scalar fields ψ and ξ transform under Ω as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\psi'} &= a^2 e^{\psi} + 2ac\xi e^{\psi} - c^2 e^{-\psi} + c^2\xi^2 e^{\psi}, \\ \xi' e^{\psi'} &= ab e^{\psi} + (ad + bc)\xi e^{\psi} - dc e^{-\psi} + dc\xi^2 e^{\psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Suppose now that one starts with a solution (2) for which $\omega = 0$, that is $\xi = 0$. Then in terms of the initial scalar (denote it by $\tilde{\psi}$) after applying an $SL(2,R)$ transformation (the metric and the remaining fields in (3) remain unchanged) one obtains:[2]

$$e^{\psi} = e^{\tilde{\psi}}(a^2 - c^2 e^{-2\tilde{\psi}}), \qquad \xi = -\frac{c}{a(a^2 e^{2\tilde{\psi}} - c^2)}, \quad (9)$$

---

[1] The order is important here since if one performs a KK reduction first on χ and then on t one gets 'mixed' terms in the three-dimensional Lagrangian and further field redefinitions of the scalar fields have to be made to decouple the (ϕ_1, A_t, ϕ) and (ψ, ω) sectors.

[2] For further convenience one drops the prime superscripts in the final fields.

up to a constant term in ξ that can be dropped without losing generality. Next, to obtain a solution of the system (3) one has to dualize back the scalar field ξ to obtain the KK 1-form $\mathcal{A}_{(1)} = \omega d\varphi$. Replacing the above expression of ξ in (4) it turns out that the problem reduces to find a function ω such that:

$$d\omega = 2ac\rho(\partial_\rho \tilde{\psi} dz - \partial_z \tilde{\psi} d\rho) \tag{10}$$

The integrability condition for ω leads to following condition on $\tilde{\psi}$:

$$\partial_\rho^2 \tilde{\psi} + \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho \tilde{\psi} + \partial_z^2 \tilde{\psi} = 0, \tag{11}$$

that is $\tilde{\psi}$ must be a harmonic function. This condition is automatically satisfied for any initial seed solution for which $\omega = 0$ and, therefore, it does not impose any real constraint. As in [44], given a harmonic function $\tilde{\psi}$ we shall call a function ω satisfying the above equation as 'the dual' of $\tilde{\psi}$ and it turns out that, when $\tilde{\psi}$ is given in terms of simple rods, one can easily write down the expression for ω in closed form. Once ω and ψ are known one can replace them in (2) to obtain the new charged solution of the EMD system in five dimensions, all the remaining fields being unchanged under the action of the $SL(2, R)$ transformation.

In what follows we shall apply this technique on the charged static five-dimensional solutions obtained in [66]. Let us recall first the results of the solution generating technique used in that work. Suppose we are given a static solution of the four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell system:

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \sqrt{-g}\left[R - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}_{(2)}^2\right], \tag{12}$$

where $\tilde{F}_{(2)} = d\tilde{A}_{(1)}$ and the only non-zero component of $\tilde{A}_{(1)}$ is $\tilde{A}_t = \Phi$. The solution to the equations of motion derived from (12) is assumed to have the following static and axisymmetric form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_4^2 &= -\tilde{f}dt^2 + \tilde{f}^{-1}\left[e^{2\tilde{\mu}}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2\right], \\ \tilde{A}_{(1)} &= \Phi dt. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Then the corresponding solution of the Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton system in five dimensions can be written as:

$$ds_5^2 = -\tilde{f}^{\frac{4}{3\alpha^2+4}}dt^2 + \tilde{f}^{-\frac{2}{3\alpha^2+4}}\left[e^{2h}d\chi^2 + e^{\frac{6\tilde{\mu}}{3\alpha^2+4}+2\gamma-2h}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 e^{-2h}d\varphi^2\right], \tag{14}$$

while the 1-form potential and the dilaton are given by:

$$A_{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{3\alpha^2+4}}\Phi dt, \qquad e^{-\phi} = \tilde{f}^{\frac{3\alpha}{3\alpha^2+4}}. \tag{15}$$

It can be checked that this solution solves the equations of motion derived from (1). Here h is an arbitrary harmonic function; once its form has been specified for a particular solution then γ can be obtained by simple quadratures using the equations:

$$\partial_\rho \gamma = \rho[(\partial_\rho h)^2 - (\partial_z h)^2], \qquad \partial_z \gamma = 2\rho(\partial_\rho h)(\partial_z h). \tag{16}$$

It was shown in [66] that, using the four-dimensional Reissner-Nordström as the initial seed, by an appropriate choice of h one can obtain either a black hole, or a black ring or a black string in five dimensions.

Recasting (14) in the form (2) one can read directly the following fields:

$$e^{\frac{\phi_1}{\sqrt{3}}} = \tilde{f}^{-\frac{2}{3\alpha^2+4}}, \qquad \tilde{\psi} = -2h, \qquad ds^2_{(3)} = e^{\frac{6\tilde{\mu}}{3\alpha^2+4}+2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2. \tag{17}$$

If one denotes by H the 'dual' of h then the final solution of the EMD system can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_5^2 = -\tilde{f}^{\frac{4}{3\alpha^2+4}}dt^2 + \tilde{f}^{-\frac{2}{3\alpha^2+4}}\Bigg[&\frac{e^{2h}}{a^2 - c^2e^{4h}}(d\chi - 4acHd\varphi)^2 + (a^2 - c^2e^{4h})e^{\frac{6\tilde{\mu}}{3\alpha^2+4}+2\gamma-2h}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) \\ &+\rho^2(a^2 - c^2e^{4h})e^{-2h}d\varphi^2)\Bigg], \qquad A_{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{3\alpha^2+4}}\Phi dt, \qquad e^{-\phi} = \tilde{f}^{\frac{3\alpha}{3\alpha^2+4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Solutions of the pure Einstein-Maxwell theory in five dimensions are simply obtained from the above formulae by taking $\alpha = 0$. In the following sections we shall focus on this particular case.

3 Charged Kaluza-Klein black hole solutions

As a check of the above solution generating technique we shall first generate the single charged KK black hole, which was originally derived in [47]. Not too much of a surprise, it turns out that in order to generate this solution one has to employ the charged black string as the initial seed. Motivated by this result, we then use the double-black string solution constructed in [66] to derive the general charged static KK double-black hole system in five dimensions.

3.1 Single charged KK black hole

Let us start with the five-dimensional black string solution. In Weyl coordinates it can be written in the following form [66]:

$$ds^2_{(5)} = -\tilde{f}dt^2 + \tilde{f}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big[e^{2h}d\chi^2 + e^{-2h}\big[e^{\frac{3\tilde{\mu}}{2}+2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2\big]\Big], \tag{19}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} &= \frac{(r_1 + r_2)^2 - 4\sigma^2}{(r_1 + r_2 + 2m)^2}, \qquad e^{2\tilde{\mu}} = \frac{(r_1 + r_2)^2 - 4\sigma^2}{4r_1r_2}, \qquad A_t = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}q}{r_1 + r_2 + 2m}, \\ e^{2h} &= \sqrt{\frac{r_1 + \zeta_1}{r_2 + \zeta_2}}, \qquad e^{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{K_0}\left(\frac{16Y_{12}}{r_1r_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here we denote by $\sigma = \sqrt{m^2 - q^2}$, $r_i = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \zeta_i^2}$, $\zeta_1 = z - \sigma$, $\zeta_2 = z + \sigma$, while $2Y_{12} = (r_1 + r_2)^2 - 4\sigma^2$. Using the explicit form for h given above, it is straightforward to verify that its dual is given by $H = -\frac{r_2 - r_1}{4}$.[3]

The final solution can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{(5)} = & -\frac{(r_1+r_2)^2 - 4\sigma^2}{(r_1+r_2+2m)^2}dt^2 + \frac{r_1+r_2+2m}{\sqrt{2Y_{12}}}\left[\frac{r_2+\zeta_2}{a^2(r_2+\zeta_2) - c^2(r_1+\zeta_1)}\sqrt{\frac{r_1+\zeta_1}{r_2+\zeta_2}}(d\chi - ac(r_2-r_1)d\varphi)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{a^2(r_2+\zeta_2) - c^2(r_1+\zeta_1)}{r_2+\zeta_2}\sqrt{\frac{r_2+\zeta_2}{r_1+\zeta_1}}\left(\frac{2^{\frac{1}{4}}Y_{12}}{K_0 r_1 r_2}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Before we show that this is indeed the charged KK black hole solution, let us notice that for $q = 0$, $a = 1$, $\sigma = k^2$ and $c = \frac{2l^2 - \sigma}{2l^2 + \sigma}$ it reduces to the uncharged KK black hole derived in [44]. Perform now the following coordinate changes:

$$\rho = \sqrt{(r-m)^2 - \sigma^2}\sin\theta, \qquad z = (r-m)\cos\theta. \tag{22}$$

Noting that $r_2 - r_1 = 2\sigma\cos\theta$ and picking $K_0 = 2^{\frac{5}{4}}$ it is now apparent that (21) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{(5)} &= -\frac{(r-m)^2 - \sigma^2}{r^2}dt^2 + g(r)\left[\frac{dr^2}{\frac{(r-m)^2-\sigma^2}{r^2}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\right] + \frac{1}{g(r)}(d\chi - 2ac\sigma\cos\theta d\varphi)^2, \\ A_t &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{m^2-\sigma^2}}{r}, \qquad g(r) = a^2 - c^2 + \frac{\sigma(a^2+c^2) - m(a^2-c^2)}{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

This is none other than the charged static Kaluza-Klein black hole derived in [47]. To see this, let us fix a such that $a^2 = c^2 + 1$ and redefine the constants in our solution such that $r_\infty = 2c\sqrt{c^2+1}\sigma$ and $r_0 = \sigma(1 + 2c^2) - m$. By expressing c in terms of r_∞ and σ it can be easily checked that (23) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -f(r)dt^2 + g(r)\left(\frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\right) + \frac{r_\infty^2}{g(r)}(d\chi + \cos\theta d\varphi)^2, \\ f(r) &= \frac{(r-m)^2 - \sigma^2}{r^2}, \quad g(r) = 1 + \frac{r_0}{r} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{r_\infty^2 + \sigma^2} - m}{r}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

that is, the solution found in [47].

The extremal limit is achieved when $\sigma = 0$ while the uncharged black hole is obtained by taking $\sigma = m$. In absence of the black hole (take $m = \sigma = 0$) the solution becomes the KK-monopole background as expected. This solution is described in terms of the length at infinity, $4\pi r_\infty$, of the χ coordinate.

In addition, it can be manifestly checked that the Reissner-Nordström black hole with spherical horizon is recovered from (23) if one takes directly $a = c$ and makes the analytical continuation $c \to ic$ to keep $g(r)$ positive.

---

[3] In general, the dual of $\frac{1}{2}\ln(r_i + \zeta_i)$ is $-\frac{1}{2}(r_i - \zeta_i)$, while the dual of $\frac{1}{2}\ln(r_i - \zeta_i)$ is $-\frac{1}{2}(r_i + \zeta_i)$, where $r_i = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \zeta_i^2}$, $\zeta_i = z - a_i$ and a_i is constant.

One could also apply the above solution generating technique using as seed either the five-dimensional Reissner-Nordström black hole with spherical horizon or the static charged black ring. However, the generated solutions do not seem to have the right KK asymptotics and, therefore, they are not amenable to clear physical interpretations. For instance, if one starts with the spherical black hole, after converting the final solution from the Weyl coordinates to the spherical ones, in the uncharged case one obtains the following metric:

$$ds^2_{(5)} = -\left(1-\frac{4m}{r^2}\right)dt^2 + (a^2 - c^2r^2(r^2-4m)\cos^4\theta)\left(\frac{dr^2}{1-\frac{4m}{r^2}} + r^2(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\right)$$
$$+\frac{r^2\cos^2\theta}{a^2-c^2r^2(r^2-4m)\cos^4\theta}(d\chi + 2ac(r^2-2m)\sin^2\theta d\varphi)^2, \tag{25}$$

upon performing the coordinate transformations:

$$\rho^2 = r^2(r^2-4m)\sin^2\theta\cos^2\theta, \qquad z = \frac{1}{2}(r^2-2m)\cos 2\theta. \tag{26}$$

We explicitly checked that this is indeed a vacuum solution, however it has peculiar asymptotics and its physical interpretation (if any) is unclear to us at this stage.

3.2 Charged Kaluza-Klein double-black hole solution

As we have seen in the previous section, in order to generate the charged KK black hole one has to use the charged black string as seed. To obtain the general charged KK double-black hole solution one should then use as initial seed in our solution generating technique the charged double-black string solution constructed in [66]. In Weyl coordinates this solution can be written as:

$$ds^2_5 = -\tilde{f}dt^2 + \tilde{f}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[e^{2h}d\chi^2 + e^{-2h}\left[e^{3\tilde{\mu}/2+2\gamma}(d\rho^2+dz^2)+\rho^2d\varphi^2\right]\right],$$
$$A_t = -\frac{\sqrt{3}C}{A+B}. \tag{27}$$

Here one denotes (see [67] for details of the original double Reissner-Nordström solution in four dimensions):

$$\tilde{f} = \frac{A^2-B^2+C^2}{(A+B)^2}, \qquad e^{2\tilde{\mu}} = \frac{A^2-B^2+C^2}{16\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2(\nu+2k)^2r_1r_2r_3r_4}, \tag{28}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sigma_1\sigma_2[\nu(r_1+r_2)(r_3+r_4)+4k(r_1r_2+r_3r_4)] - (\mu^2\nu-2k^2)(r_1-r_2)(r_3-r_4),\\
B &= 2\sigma_1\sigma_2[(\nu M_1+2kM_2)(r_1+r_2)+(\nu M_2+2kM_1)(r_3+r_4)]\\
&\quad -2\sigma_1[\nu\mu(Q_2+\mu)+2k(RM_2+\mu Q_1-\mu^2)](r_1-r_2)\\
&\quad -2\sigma_2[\nu\mu(Q_1-\mu)-2k(RM_1-\mu Q_2-\mu^2)](r_3-r_4),\\
C &= 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\{[\nu(Q_1-\mu)+2k(Q_2+\mu)](r_1+r_2)+[\nu(Q_2+\mu)+2k(Q_1-\mu)](r_3+r_4)\}\\
&\quad -2\sigma_1[\mu\nu M_2+2k(\mu M_1+RQ_2+\mu R)](r_1-r_2)\\
&\quad -2\sigma_2[\mu\nu M_1+2k(\mu M_2-RQ_1+\mu R)](r_3-r_4),
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

with constants:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu = R^2 - \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2 + 2\mu^2, \qquad k = M_1 M_2 - (Q_1 - \mu)(Q_2 + \mu),\\
&\sigma_1^2 = M_1^2 - Q_1^2 + 2\mu Q_1, \qquad \sigma_2^2 = M_2^2 - Q_2^2 - 2\mu Q_2, \qquad \mu = \frac{M_2 Q_1 - M_1 Q_2}{M_1 + M_2 + R}, \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

while $r_i = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \zeta_i^2}$, for $i = 1..4$, with:

$$\zeta_1 = z - \frac{R}{2} - \sigma_2, \qquad \zeta_2 = z - \frac{R}{2} + \sigma_2, \qquad \zeta_3 = z + \frac{R}{2} - \sigma_1, \qquad \zeta_4 = z + \frac{R}{2} + \sigma_1. \qquad (31)$$

To describe a configuration of two charged black strings one has to pick the following harmonic function h, while γ can be found by integrating (16) with the result:

$$e^{2h} = \sqrt{\frac{(r_1 + \zeta_1)(r_3 + \zeta_3)}{(r_2 + \zeta_2)(r_4 + \zeta_4)}}, \qquad e^{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{K_0}\left(\frac{16 Y_{12} Y_{14} Y_{23} Y_{34}}{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 Y_{13} Y_{24}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \qquad (32)$$

where K_0 is a constant and we denote $Y_{ij} = r_i r_j + \zeta_i \zeta_j + \rho^2$, where $i, j = 1...4$. Let us note that the dual of h is given by:

$$H = \frac{r_2 + r_4 - r_1 - r_3}{4}, \qquad (33)$$

while

$$\Sigma \equiv a^2 - c^2 e^{4h} = \frac{a^2 (r_2 + \zeta_2)(r_4 + \zeta_4) - c^2 (r_1 + \zeta_1)(r_3 + \zeta_3)}{(r_2 + \zeta_2)(r_4 + \zeta_4)}. \qquad (34)$$

The final solution describing a system of two general charged KK black holes in five dimensions is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_{(5)}^2 &= -\tilde{f} dt^2 + \tilde{f}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{e^{2h}}{\Sigma}(d\chi + ac(r_1 + r_3 - r_2 - r_4)d\varphi)^2 + e^{-2h}\Sigma\left[e^{3\tilde{\mu}/2 + 2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2\right]\right],\\
A_t &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}C}{A + B}. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

This solution is parameterized by six independent parameters and describes the superposition of two general KK black holes, with masses $M_{1,2}$, charges $Q_{1,2}$, R being the coordinate distance separating them and the asymptotic length of the KK coordinate χ at infinity, or, equivalently, by the KK-monopole charge.

Before we discuss some of its physical properties, let us first consider the structure of the conical singularities along the axis. To define a conical singularity for a rotational axis with angle φ one computes the proper circumference C around the axis and its proper radius R and define:

$$\alpha = \frac{dC}{dR}\Big|_{R=0} = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}}\Delta\varphi}{\int_0^\rho \sqrt{g_{\rho\rho}} d\rho} = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\partial_\rho \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}}\Delta\varphi}{\sqrt{g_{\rho\rho}}}, \qquad (36)$$

where $\Delta\varphi$ is the period of φ. The presence of a conical singularity is now expressed by means of:

$$\delta = 2\pi - \alpha, \tag{37}$$

such that $\delta > 0$ corresponds to a conical deficit (a 'cosmic membrane'), while $\delta < 0$ corresponds to a conical excess (a 'strut').

Recall that in the initial seed solution, which describes the double-black string system, there are no rods along the χ direction. One can confirm that this is the case in the general KK double-black hole solution as well. Turning now to the discussion of the conical singularities in the (ρ, φ) sector of the metric, one finds that there is a conical singularity:

$$\delta_\varphi = 2\pi - \Delta\varphi\sqrt{\frac{K_0}{\sqrt{8}}}. \tag{38}$$

along the outer axis $z < -R/2 - \sigma_1$ or $z > R/2 + \sigma_2$, while:

$$\delta_\varphi = 2\pi - \Delta\varphi\sqrt{\frac{K_0}{\sqrt{8}}}\left(\left(\frac{\nu+2k}{\nu-2k}\right)^3\frac{R^2-(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)^2}{R^2-(\sigma_1-\sigma_2)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{39}$$

on the portion $-R/2+\sigma_1 < z < R/2-\sigma_2$ in between the KK black hole horizons. We ensure regularity of the outer axis, by taking $\Delta\varphi = 2\pi$ and setting $K_0 = \sqrt{8}$. There will still be a conical singularity running in between the KK black holes. The equilibrium condition, for which this conical singularity disappears is given by:

$$\left(\frac{\nu-2k}{\nu+2k}\right)^3 = \frac{R^2-(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)^2}{R^2-(\sigma_1-\sigma_2)^2}. \tag{40}$$

This is the same equilibrium condition found in [72] when discussing the double black string solution in the KK flat background. Therefore, as in [72] we conclude that there are no nonextremal KK double-black hole solutions with $\delta_\varphi = 0$, which also satisfy the physical conditions $M_1 + M_2 > 0$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < R$. The only way to satisfy this condition is to consider extremal objects for which $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$.

3.2.1 Limits of the KK double-black hole solution

Let us consider now some particular cases of the above general charged KK double-black hole solution. First, in order to prove that it describes indeed a system of two charged KK static black holes, let us note that one can recover the individual black holes by pushing the other black hole to infinity. For example, to recover the metric describing the second black hole (with parameters M_2 and Q_2) one has to first center the z origin on its horizon by making the shift $z \to z - \frac{R}{2}$ and, after that, take the infinite separation limit $R \to \infty$. From the general expressions in (28), one notes that in this limit $\nu \sim R^2$, $\mu \sim 0$, $\sigma_i = \sqrt{M_i^2 - Q_i^2}$, for $i = 1..2$, $k = M_1M_2 - Q_1Q_2$ and $r_{3,4} \sim R$, such that:

$$A \sim 2\sigma_1\sigma_2R^3(r_1+r_2), \qquad B \sim 4\sigma_1\sigma_2R^3M_2, \qquad C \sim 4\sigma_1\sigma_2R^3Q_2, \tag{41}$$

Also, by taking this limit in the harmonic function h one obtains:

$$e^{2h} = \sqrt{\frac{r_1 + \zeta_1}{r_2 + \zeta_2}}, \qquad e^{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{K_0}\left(\frac{16Y_{12}}{r_1 r_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{42}$$

while the dual of h and Σ become respectively:

$$H = -\frac{r_1 - r_2}{4}, \qquad \Sigma = \frac{r_2 + \zeta_2}{a^2(r_2 + \zeta_2) - c^2(r_1 + \zeta_1)}. \tag{43}$$

Replacing all these quantities in (35) it is now manifest that one recovers the solution describing a single KK black hole, as expected. However, since in this case $K_0 = \sqrt{8}$ there is still a conical singularity along the φ-axis all the way to infinity as expected.

The extremally charged limit corresponds to taking $M_1 = Q_1$ and $M_2 = Q_2$. This leads to $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = k = \mu = 0$ and, in consequence, in this limit $r_1 = r_2$ while $r_3 = r_4$. Apparently, this makes $e^{2h} \to 1$ and $H \to 0$. Therefore, if one is not careful enough the general solution given in (35) reduces to the extremal double black string seed from [72], which describes a pair of extremal strings in a flat background. However, in order to recover the solution describing extremal black holes in the KK monopole background, the extremal limit must be taken with better care. One way to do this is as follows. Take first the limit in which one black hole becomes extremal, say $\sigma_1 = 0$. Then $r_3 = r_4$, $\zeta_3 = \zeta_4$ and the expressions for H and Σ reduce essentially to those corresponding to the case of a single black hole (43).

One is ready now to make the second black hole extremal. Take now $a^2 = c^2 + 1$ and further replace $c^2 = \frac{\sqrt{r_\infty^2 + \sigma_2^2} - \sigma_2}{2\sigma_2}$, where r_∞ is a constant that will describe the asymptotic length at infinity of the χ coordinate. One can readily see now that once one takes the limit $\sigma_2 \to 0$ the product $c^2\sigma_2 \to \frac{r_\infty}{2}$ remains constant, same as $c\sqrt{c^2+1}\sigma_2 \to \frac{r_\infty}{2}$. On the other hand, once we have $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$ then (28) becomes:

$$\tilde{f}_e = \left(1 + \frac{M_1}{r_3} + \frac{M_2}{r_1}\right)^{-2}, \qquad e^{2\tilde{\mu}}|_e = 1. \tag{44}$$

while[4]

$$e^{2h} = 1, \quad e^{2\gamma} = 1, \quad H = -2\sigma_2 \frac{\left(z - \frac{R}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \left(z - \frac{R}{2}\right)^2}}, \quad \Sigma = 1 + \frac{r_\infty}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \left(z - \frac{R}{2}\right)^2}}. \tag{45}$$

Collecting all these results into (35) and performing the coordinate transformations:

$$\rho = r\sin\theta, \qquad z - \frac{R}{2} = r\cos\theta \tag{46}$$

---

[4] Recall that we set $K_0 = \sqrt{8}$ to cancel out conical singularities on the outer axis, leaving a conical singularity on the portion of the φ-axis in between the black holes.

the extremal solution becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_e^2 &= -\left(1+\frac{M_1}{r_3}+\frac{M_2}{r}\right)^{-2}dt^2+\left(1+\frac{M_1}{r_3}+\frac{M_2}{r}\right)\left[\frac{r_\infty^2}{1+\frac{r_\infty}{r}}\left(d\chi+\cos\theta d\varphi\right)^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\left(1+\frac{r_\infty}{r}\right)\left[dr^2+r^2(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\right]\right],\\
A_t &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(1+\frac{M_1}{r_3}+\frac{M_2}{r}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_3=\sqrt{r^2+2Rr\cos\theta+R^2}$. In absence of the black holes the above solution reduces to the KK monopole background as expected.

It is interesting to note that the above solution is a particular case of the extremal solution considered in [74]. Indeed, if one sets to zero the dipole charge $q=0$ in the solution discussed in section 6 of [74] then one essentially recovers the solution (47). However, unlike the physical interpretation given in [74], where (47) was interpreted to describe an extremally charged black Saturn system in a KK-monopole background, in our case this solution corresponds to a pair of extremal KK-black holes along the z-axis, separated by a distance R. Note that the non-zero dipole charge q was essential in the near-horizon analysis performed in [74], which showed that the black object parameterized by M_1 has an $S^2\times S^1$ horizon.

3.2.2 Conserved charges and thermodynamics

To find the asymptotic geometry one performs the coordinate transformations:

$$\rho=r\sin\theta, \qquad z=r\cos\theta, \tag{48}$$

and take the asymptotic limit $r\to\infty$. Defining now $r_\infty=2c\sqrt{1+c^2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)$ the asymptotic length of the χ-circle becomes $\mathcal{L}=4\pi r_\infty$.

To compute the conserved charges we shall make use of the counterterm proposed in [75]. This counterterm regularizes the gravitational action for spacetime geometries that are asymptotic to the KK-monopole background. To this end, one adds to the Einstein-Maxwell action (including the Gibbons-Hawking term) the following surface term:

$$I_{ct}=\frac{1}{8\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-h}\sqrt{2\mathcal{R}} \tag{49}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the Ricci scalar of the induced metric on the boundary, h_{ij}. By taking the variation of this total action with respect to the boundary metric h_{ij}, it is straightforward to compute the boundary stress-tensor:

$$T_{ij}=\frac{1}{8\pi G}\left(K_{ij}-Kh_{ij}-\Psi(\mathcal{R}_{ij}-\mathcal{R}h_{ij})-h_{ij}\Box\Psi+\Psi_{;ij}\right)$$

where we denote $\Psi=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\mathcal{R}}}$. If the boundary geometry has an isometry generated by a Killing vector ξ^i, then $T_{ij}\xi^j$ is divergence free, from which it follows that the quantity

$$\mathcal{Q}=\oint_\Sigma d^3S^iT_{ij}\xi^j,$$

associated with a closed surface Σ, is conserved. Physically, this means that a collection of observers on the boundary with the induced metric h_{ij} measure the same value of $\mathcal{Q}$, provided the boundary has an isometry generated by ξ. In particular, if $\xi^i = \partial/\partial t$ then $\mathcal{Q}$ is the conserved mass $\mathcal{M}$. One should also note that for KK black holes there exists another conserved quantity, analogous to the gravitational tension in the black string case [76, 77], which can be easily computed in the counterterms approach by using the formula [54]:

$$\mathcal{T}' = \int_{\Sigma'} d^3 S_\chi T^\chi_j \xi^j = \int dt \oint_{S^2_\infty} d^2x \sqrt{\sigma} T^\chi_\chi, \tag{50}$$

where now $\xi^i = \partial/\partial\chi$ and the integration is performed over the two-sphere at infinity (described by θ and ϕ) and also along the time direction. This gravitational tension is defined with respect to the asymptotic spatial translation along the circle described by χ. Similarly to the black string case, one notices that one can omit the integration over time and work with the 'tension per unit time':

$$\mathcal{T} = \oint_{S^2_\infty} d^2x \sqrt{\sigma} T^\chi_\chi. \tag{51}$$

A straightforward computation using the boundary stress-tensor leads to the following conserved mass and gravitational tension:

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\pi r_\infty}{G}\left[3(M_1 + M_2) + \sqrt{r^2_\infty + (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2}\right], \quad \mathcal{T} = \frac{\sqrt{r^2_\infty + (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2}}{2G}. \tag{52}$$

The total charge of the double-black hole solution is computed using Gauss' formula with the result $\mathcal{Q} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi r_\infty (Q_1 + Q_2)}{G}$.

Finally, let us notice that, similar to what happens in the single black hole case, one can compute the Komar mass:

$$M_K = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\frac{3}{2}\int_S \alpha, \tag{53}$$

where S is the boundary of any spacelike hypersurface and:

$$\alpha_{\mu\nu\rho} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \nabla^\sigma \xi^\tau \ , \tag{54}$$

with the Killing vector $\xi = \partial/\partial t$. This quantity is a measure of the mass contained in S, and if we take S to be the three-sphere at infinity enclosing both horizons then (53) gives the total Komar mass of the system:

$$M_K = \frac{3\pi(M_1 + M_2) r_\infty}{G} = \frac{3(M_1 + M_2)\mathcal{L}}{4G}, \tag{55}$$

while the Komar mass of each individual black hole is obtained by performing the above integration at the respective black hole horizon. At this point, let us note that the relation $2M_K = 2\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}$ is satisfied for the double-black hole system as well (this relation was first noticed in [54] for the single KK black hole system).

A straightforward computation leads to:

$$M_{Komar}^{(1)}=\frac{3}{4G}\sigma_2\mathcal{L}, \qquad M_{Komar}^{(2)}=\frac{3}{4G}\sigma_1\mathcal{L}, \tag{56}$$

while

$$M_K=M_{Komar}^{(1)}+M_{Komar}^{(2)}-\frac{1}{16\pi G}\frac{3}{2}\int R_t^t\sqrt{-g}dV. \tag{57}$$

However, since Einstein's equations imply $R_t^t=\frac{F_{\mu t}^2}{3}$, one arrives at the following five-dimensional Smarr formula [78]:

$$M_K=M_K^{(1)}+M_K^{(2)}, \tag{58}$$

where for each constituent one has:

$$2M_K^{(i)}=\frac{3k_{(5)}^{(i)}A_{(5)}^{(i)}}{8\pi G}+2\Phi_H^{(i)}\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}\ , \tag{59}$$

where $M_K^{(i)}=\frac{3M_i\mathcal{L}}{4G}$. Thus one can regard $M_K^{(i)}$ as the individual mass of each black object, containing an electromagnetic contribution apart from the Komar piece! Here $k_{(5)}^i$, $A_{(5)}^i$, Φ_H^i and $\mathcal{Q}_i$ are in order the surface gravity, horizon area, electric potential and electric charge computed for each black hole horizon. One should also note that this relation follows from the four-dimensional Smarr relation for each individual black hole and in what follows, using the recent results obtained in [79] we shall show that this is indeed the case.

First, the electric potential on each horizon is easily computed from $\Phi_H^i=-A_t|_{horizon}$ and one obtains:

$$\Phi_H^i=\sqrt{3}\left(\frac{M_i-\sigma_i}{Q_i}\right). \tag{60}$$

Also, the individual black hole charges turn out to be:

$$\mathcal{Q}_i=\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi r_\infty Q_i}{G}=\frac{\sqrt{3}\mathcal{L}Q_i}{4G}. \tag{61}$$

Note that the total charge is $\mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q}_1+\mathcal{Q}_2$, that is the sum of the individual charges, as expected.

The main difficulty when discussing the thermodynamic properties of the double black hole system consists in computing the temperature and entropy for each horizon. However, in what follows we shall show that these quantities are related in a simple manner to those defined in the original four-dimensional seed and, therefore, using the results in [79] one can express the five-dimensional ones in a simple form.

In the four-dimensional seed, the area of each black hole horizon can be expressed in the following form:

$$A_{(4)}^i=4\pi\sigma_i\left(\rho\tilde{f}^{-1}e^{\tilde{\mu}}\right)|_{\rho=0}^i, \tag{62}$$

where for each black hole horizon we have [79]:

$$\left(\rho\tilde{f}^{-1}e^{\tilde{\mu}}\right)|^{1}_{\rho=0} = \frac{\left[(R+M_1+M_2)(M_1+\sigma_1)-Q_1(Q_1+Q_2)\right]^2}{\sigma_1\left[(R+\sigma_1)^2-\sigma_2^2\right]},$$
$$\left(\rho\tilde{f}^{-1}e^{\tilde{\mu}}\right)|^{2}_{\rho=0} = \frac{\left[(R+M_1+M_2)(M_2+\sigma_2)-Q_2(Q_1+Q_2)\right]^2}{\sigma_2\left[(R+\sigma_2)^2-\sigma_1^2\right]}. \qquad (63)$$

For the final five-dimensional solution the area of each black hole horizon can be written as:

$$A^{i}_{(5)} = 4\pi\sigma_i\mathcal{L}\left[(\rho\tilde{f}^{-1}e^{\tilde{\mu}})|^{i}_{\rho=0}\right]^{\frac{3}{4}}\left((\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{2\gamma-2h}\Sigma)|^{i}_{\rho=0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad (64)$$

Let us note now that near each black hole horizon one can express:

$$e^{2h-2\gamma} = (p_i)^2\sqrt{\rho}+\mathcal{O}(\rho) \qquad (65)$$

where p_i are constants, while $\Sigma|_{\rho=0} = \Sigma_0 = 1+c^2$ is also constant. One finds explicitly:

$$(p_1)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R+\sigma_1-\sigma_2}{\sigma_1(R+\sigma_1+\sigma_2)}}, \quad (p_2)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R+\sigma_2-\sigma_1}{\sigma_2(R+\sigma_1+\sigma_2)}} \qquad (66)$$

and replacing these relations in (64) one finally finds:

$$A^{1}_{(5)} = 4\pi\sigma_1\mathcal{L}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{r_\infty^2+(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)^2}}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{\left[(R+M_1+M_2)(M_1+\sigma_1)-Q_1(Q_1+Q_2)\right]^3}{\sigma_1(R+\sigma_1+\sigma_2)(R+\sigma_1-\sigma_2)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$A^{2}_{(5)} = 4\pi\sigma_2\mathcal{L}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{r_\infty^2+(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)^2}}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{\left[(R+M_1+M_2)(M_2+\sigma_2)-Q_2(Q_1+Q_2)\right]^3}{\sigma_2(R+\sigma_1+\sigma_2)(R+\sigma_2-\sigma_1)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

As a check of the correctness of the above formulae for the horizon areas, let us take for instance $M_1 = Q_1 = 0$ and further send $R \to \infty$. One readily checks that one obtains the horizon area of the remaining black hole:

$$A^{2}_{(5)} = 4\pi\mathcal{L}(M_2+\sigma_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\sigma_2+\sqrt{r_\infty^2+\sigma_2^2}} \qquad (67)$$

as expected.

In order to compute the Hawking temperature we shall use the definition in terms of the surface gravity, which is generally defined as $k^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\xi^{a;b}\xi_{a;b}$, where $\xi = \partial/\partial t$ is the canonically normalized timelike Killing vector. From the general expressions in (28) one can deduce that near each black hole horizon one has the following expansions:

$$\tilde{f} = F(z)\rho^2+\mathcal{O}(\rho^3), \qquad e^{2\tilde{\mu}} = X(z)\tilde{f}+\mathcal{O}(\rho^3). \qquad (68)$$

Replacing these expressions into the four-dimensional[5] surface gravity and taking the $\rho \to 0$ limit one finds the particularly simple result:

$$k_{(4)} = \sqrt{\frac{F(z)}{X(z)}}. \tag{69}$$

Let us note at this point that the above expression for the surface gravity is actually independent of z as expected (since it should be constant on the black hole horizon) and one can confirm this by computing:

$$(\rho \tilde{f}^{-1} e^{\tilde{\mu}})|_{\rho=0} = \sqrt{\frac{X(z)}{F(z)}} = \frac{1}{k_{(4)}}, \tag{70}$$

which is manifestly constant according to (63). Then the Hawking temperature is $T^i_{(4)} = \frac{k^i_{(4)}}{2\pi}$ and one obtains:

$$\frac{k^i_{(4)} A^i_{(4)}}{8\pi G_{(4)}} = \frac{\sigma_i}{2G_{(4)}}, \tag{71}$$

where $G_{(4)} = G/\mathcal{L}$ is Newton's constant in four dimensions.

If one computes the surface gravity for the five-dimensional solution one obtains the simple form:

$$k^i_{(5)} = \frac{p_i}{\sqrt{\Sigma_0}} (k^i_{(4)})^{\frac{3}{4}}. \tag{72}$$

It is now an easy matter to check that:

$$\frac{A^i_{(5)} k^i_{(5)}}{8\pi G} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\sigma_i}{2G} = \frac{\sigma_i}{2G_{(4)}} = \frac{k^i_{(4)} A^i_{(4)}}{8\pi G_{(4)}}. \tag{73}$$

It is now apparent that the individual Smarr relations for each black hole:

$$2M^i_K = 3\left(\frac{A^i_{(5)} k^i_{(5)}}{8\pi G}\right) + 2\Phi^i \mathcal{Q}_i \tag{74}$$

are satisfied and moreover they are equivalent to the Smarr relations in the initial four-dimensional seed as advertised.

Finally, making use of the relationship between the total Komar mass and the mass computed in the counterterm approach, one can rewrite the Smarr relation for the double KK black hole as:

$$2\mathcal{M} = 3\left(\frac{A^1_{(5)} k^1_{(5)}}{8\pi G} + \frac{A^2_{(5)} k^2_{(5)}}{8\pi G}\right) + \mathcal{T}\mathcal{L} + 2(\Phi^1 \mathcal{Q}_1 + \Phi^2 \mathcal{Q}_2) \tag{75}$$

For a single KK black hole this Smarr relation reduces to the one previously obtained in [54] as expected.

---

[5] This is computed using the seed metric in four dimensions.

4 Conclusions

By using a simple modification of novel solution generation technique described in [72], we were able to construct the general non-extremally charged KK multi-black hole solutions in five dimensions. In particular, this new technique provides us with a mapping between static charged four-dimensional solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell system to five-dimensional charged and static Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton solutions with KK asymptotics. While the general solution of the EMD system can be read in (18), in this paper, when discussing the generated solutions we focused for simplicity on Einstein-Maxwell theory, for which the coupling constant $\alpha = 0$ in the general solution (18) vanishes.

In Section 2 we described this solution generating technique, while in Section 3 we generated KK multi-black hole systems in five dimensions. In the single KK black hole case, it turns out that, instead of using the charged black hole with spherical symmetry as the initial seed, in order to obtain sensible results one has to use the charged single black string solution. Motivated by this result, we then used as seed the general double black string solution in an asymptotically flat background in order to generate the general charged double-black hole solution in the KK background. We investigated the conical singularity structure of the final solution and we also showed how to recover several known solutions as particular cases. Finally, we computed its conserved charges at infinity and discussed at length its thermodynamic properties. In particular, based on the previous results recently derived in [79] for the initial four-dimensional seed solution, we proved the general Smarr relation for the double black hole system in five dimensions.

As avenues for further work, it would be interesting to identify the seed solution which will lead to the construction of a black ring system in the KK-background. This last solution has been recently constructed in [45] and one should be able to recover it using the methods presented in this paper.

Another interesting possibility is to extend the analysis of [80] to five dimensions and find an embedding of the KK multi-black hole solution in string theory. Using the effective string description one should be able to compute for instance the entropy, including the corrections associated with the interaction among the black holes.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada. CS would like to thank Eugen Radu for interesting remarks on the manuscript.

References

[1] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 084025 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110258].

[2] T. Harmark, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 124002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408141].

[3] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, [arXiv:hep-th/9909099].

[4] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt,“Exact solutions of Einstein’s field equations” Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[5] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 101101 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110260].

[6] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, R169 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0608012].

[7] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Living Rev. Rel. **11**, 6 (2008) [arXiv:0801.3471 [hep-th]].

[8] J. L. Friedman, K. Schleich and D. M. Witt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1486 (1993) [Erratum-ibid. **75**, 1872 (1995)] [arXiv:gr-qc/9305017].

[9] G. J. Galloway, K. Schleich, D. M. Witt and E. Woolgar, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 104039 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9902061].

[10] G. J. Galloway, K. Schleich, D. Witt and E. Woolgar, Phys. Lett. B **505**, 255 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/9912119].

[11] M. l. Cai and G. J. Galloway, Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 2707 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0102149].

[12] H. Elvang, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 124016 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305247].

[13] R. Emparan, JHEP **0403**, 064 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0402149].

[14] S. S. Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 104007 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602116].

[15] S. S. Yazadjiev, JHEP **0607**, 036 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604140].

[16] S. S. Yazadjiev, Gen. Rel. Grav. **39**, 601 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0607101].

[17] H. Iguchi and T. Mishima, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 121501 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604050].

[18] S. Tomizawa, H. Iguchi and T. Mishima, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 104004 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0608169].

[19] H. Iguchi and T. Mishima, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064018 (2007) [Erratum-ibid. D **78**, 069903 (2008)] [arXiv:hep-th/0701043].

[20] S. Tomizawa and M. Nozawa, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 124034 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604067].

[21] T. Koikawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 793 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501248].

[22] A. A. Pomeransky, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 044004 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0507250].

[23] T. Azuma and T. Koikawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **116**, 319 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512350].

[24] T. Mishima and H. Iguchi, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 044030 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0504018].

[25] S. Tomizawa, Y. Morisawa and Y. Yasui, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 064009 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512252].

[26] Y. Morisawa, S. Tomizawa and Y. Yasui, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 064019 (2008) [arXiv:0710.4600 [hep-th]].

[27] H. Elvang and P. Figueras, JHEP **0705**, 050 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701035].

[28] A. A. Pomeransky and R. A. Sen'kov, [arXiv:hep-th/0612005].

[29] J. P. Gauntlett and J. B. Gutowski, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 025013 [arXiv:hep-th/0408010].

[30] J. P. Gauntlett and J. B. Gutowski, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 045002 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0408122].

[31] J. Evslin and C. Krishnan, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 125018 (2009) [arXiv:0706.1231 [hep-th]].

[32] S. S. Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064011 (2007) [arXiv:0705.1840 [hep-th]].

[33] H. Elvang and M. J. Rodriguez, JHEP **0804**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2425 [hep-th]].

[34] H. Elvang, R. Emparan and P. Figueras, JHEP **0705**, 056 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702111].

[35] D. Ida and Y. Uchida, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 104014 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0307095].

[36] J. Mei and C. N. Pope, Phys. Lett. B **658**, 64 (2007) [arXiv:0709.0559 [hep-th]].

[37] P. Dobiasch and D. Maison, Gen. Rel. Grav. **14**, 231 (1982).

[38] G. W. Gibbons and D. L. Wiltshire, Annals Phys. **167**, 201 (1986) [Erratum-ibid. **176**, 393 (1987)].

[39] D. Rasheed, Nucl. Phys. B **454**, 379 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9505038].

[40] F. Larsen, Nucl. Phys. B **575**, 211 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9909102].

[41] R. D. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 87 (1983).

[42] D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B **226**, 29 (1983).

[43] J. Ford, S. Giusto, A. Peet and A. Saxena, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 075014 (2008) [arXiv:0708.3823 [hep-th]].

[44] S. Giusto and A. Saxena, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 4269 (2007) [arXiv:0705.4484 [hep-th]].

[45] J. Camps, R. Emparan, P. Figueras, S. Giusto and A. Saxena, JHEP **0902**, 021 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2088 [hep-th]].

[46] D. Maison, Lect. Notes Phys. **540**, 273 (2000).

[47] H. Ishihara and K. Matsuno, Prog. Theor. Phys. **116**, 417 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0510094].

[48] Y. Brihaye and E. Radu, Phys. Lett. B **641**, 212 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606228].

[49] T. Wang, Nucl. Phys. B **756**, 86 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605048].

[50] T. Nakagawa, H. Ishihara, K. Matsuno and S. Tomizawa, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 044040 (2008) [arXiv:0801.0164 [hep-th]].

[51] S. Tomizawa, H. Ishihara, K. Matsuno and T. Nakagawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **121**, 823 (2009) [arXiv:0803.3873 [hep-th]].

[52] K. Matsuno, H. Ishihara, T. Nakagawa and S. Tomizawa, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064016 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3316 [hep-th]].

[53] S. Tomizawa and A. Ishibashi, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 245007 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1564 [hep-th]].

[54] C. Stelea, K. Schleich and D. Witt, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 124006 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4338 [hep-th]].

[55] A. Bouchareb, G. Clement, C. M. Chen, D. V. Gal'tsov, N. G. Scherbluk and T. Wolf, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 104032 (2007) [arXiv:0708.2361 [hep-th]].

[56] G. Clement, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 042503 (2008) [Erratum-ibid. **49**, 079901 (2008)] [arXiv:0710.1192 [gr-qc]].

[57] G. Clement, arXiv:0811.0691 [hep-th].

[58] D. V. Galtsov, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **172**, 121 (2008) [arXiv:0901.0098 [gr-qc]].

[59] D. V. Gal'tsov and N. G. Scherbluk, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064033 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3924 [hep-th]].

[60] G. Compere, S. de Buyl, E. Jamsin and A. Virmani, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 125016 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1645 [hep-th]].

[61] S. Tomizawa, Y. Yasui and Y. Morisawa, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 145006 (2009) [arXiv:0809.2001 [hep-th]].

[62] D. V. Gal'tsov and N. G. Scherbluk, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064020 (2009) [arXiv:0812.2336 [hep-th]].

[63] R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 455 (1987).

[64] M. J. Duff and J. X. Lu, Nucl. Phys. B **416**, 301 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9306052].

[65] H. Ishihara, M. Kimura, K. Matsuno and S. Tomizawa, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 6919 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605030].

[66] B. Chng, R. Mann, E. Radu and C. Stelea, JHEP **0812**, 009 (2008) [arXiv:0809.0154 [hep-th]].

[67] V. S. Manko, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 124032 (2007) [arXiv:0710.2158 [gr-qc]].

[68] H. S. Tan and E. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 044021 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0306044].

[69] E. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 084003 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307188].

[70] E. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 024016 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0511007].

[71] J. Kunz and S. Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 024010 (2009) [arXiv:0811.0730 [hep-th]].

[72] B. Chng, R. B. Mann and C. Stelea, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084031 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0608092].

[73] D. V. Galtsov, A. A. Garcia and O. V. Kechkin, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 2887 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9504155].

[74] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos and H. S. Reall, JHEP **0508**, 042 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504125].

[75] R. B. Mann and C. Stelea, Phys. Lett. B **634**, 531 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0511180].

[76] D. Kastor and J. Traschen, JHEP **0609**, 022 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607051].

[77] T. Harmark and N. A. Obers, JHEP **0405**, 043 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0403103].

[78] G. W. Gibbons and K. i. Maeda, Nucl. Phys. B **298**, 741 (1988).

[79] V. S. Manko, E. Ruiz and J. Sanchez-Mondragon, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084024 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2029 [gr-qc]].

[80] M. S. Costa and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B **591**, 469 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0008106].